arXiv:1211.1440v1 [math.NT] 7 Nov 2012

# Explicit formulas using partitions of integers for numbers defined by recursion

Giuseppe Fera, Vittorino Talamini

DCFA, Sezione di Fisica e Matematica, Università di Udine, Via delle Scienze 208, 33100 Udine, Italy `giuseppe.fera@uniud.it`,

`vittorino.talamini@uniud.it`

**Abstract**

In this article we obtain some general explicit formulas to express the $n$-th term of a wide class of sequences of numbers defined by recursion in terms of the partitions of $n$. These formulas are here applied to the Bernoulli numbers, to the Euler numbers and to the inverse of the factorials. Our proofs are based only on elementary linear algebra and do not use any tools from calculus.

## 1 Introduction

Many sequences of numbers are defined through a recursive formula. For example, Lucas in [1] (Eq. (3), p. 238, and Eq. (1) p. 256) gives the following symbolic equations defining Bernoulli and Euler numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} (B+1)^n - B^n &\doteq 0, \qquad \forall n > 1, \quad B_0 = 1,\ B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \\ (E+1)^n + (E-1)^n &\doteq 0, \qquad \forall n > 0, \quad E_0 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

These equations are symbolic because after the expansion the exponents must be degraded to indices. The resulting recurrence relations are the following:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{h} B_h = 0, \qquad B_0 = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \binom{n}{h} \left[1 + (-1)^{n-h}\right] E_h = 0, \qquad E_0 = 1 \tag{2}$$

(where in Eq. (1) $n$ has been substituted with $n+1$, and the definition of $B_1$ is no longer necessary). One may then write the following recursive formulas for $B_n$ and $E_n$:

$$B_n = -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{h} B_h \,, \qquad B_0 = 1 \tag{3}$$

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{h} \left[1 + (-1)^{n-h}\right] E_h \,, \qquad E_0 = 1 \tag{4}$$

Another way to define these sequences of numbers is through a generating function. In this case the numbers of the sequence are related to the coefficients of the Taylor series of some generating function. For example, a possible definition of the Bernoulli and Euler numbers is following ([1], p. 262):

$$g_B(x) = \frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} B_h \frac{x^h}{h!}$$

$$g_E(x) = \frac{2}{e^x + e^{-x}} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} E_h \frac{x^h}{h!}$$

Definitions like those shown have the disadvantage that to calculate the $n$-th element of the sequence one has to calculate all the elements with index smaller than $n$.

Formulas that allow to calculate the $n$-th element of the sequence only in terms of $n$, and not in terms of other elements of the sequence, are then useful and welcome. They are often called *closed* formulas, but we prefer to call them *explicit* formulas, in agreement with [2].

In this article we present in Theorems 1 and 2 explicit formulas that allow to calculate the $n$-th element of a sequence of numbers in terms of the partitions of the integer $n$. This is possible if the recursive definition of the sequence elements is of a certain form, not very restricted, as we will see. We then apply Theorem 1 to find explicit formulas for the inverse of the factorials, the Bernoulli numbers and the Euler numbers. Our results partly overlap with those obtained by Vella [2] and by Malenfant [3], but we think that our proofs are more elementary because they are done just using some basic linear algebra and some combinatorics.

Before to state our theorems it is necessary to give some definitions concerning partitions, compositions and Toeplitz matrices.

Given a positive integer $n$, a *partition* $p$ of $n$ is an unordered sequence of

$l(p)$ positive integers $n_j$ that have sum $n$:

$$p = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{l(p)}\}, \qquad n = \sum_{j=1}^{l(p)} n_j$$

The number $l(p)$ is called the *length* of the partition $p$.

A *composition* $c$ is a partition in which all the $n_j$ are ordered, for example:

$$n_j \geq n_{j+1}, \qquad \forall j = 1, \ldots, l(c) - 1.$$

For any composition $c$ there are many partitions formed with the same $l(c)$ elements of $c$. Let $\mu(c)$ be the number of different partitions formed by the same numbers forming the composition $c$. It is an easy exercise to verify that the number $\mu(c)$ is given by the formula:

$$\mu(c) = l(c)! \bigg/ \prod_{n_j \in \cup(c)} m_c(n_j)! \ , \tag{5}$$

where $m_c(n_j)$ is the *multiplicity* of $n_j$ in $c$, that is the number of times that the number $n_j$ appears in $c$, and the product at the denominator has to be done for all *different* numbers $n_j$ that appear in $c$, that is, for all numbers $n_j$ that appear in the union $\cup(c)$ of the elements of $c$. The multiplicities $m_c(n_j)$ that appear at the denominator of (5) always form a partition of the length $l(c)$ that appears at the numerator. If $p$ is a partition obtained from the composition $c$, one obviously has $l(p) = l(c)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}(n)$ and $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the set of all compositions of $n$ and the set of all partitions of $n$, respectively.

An $n$-dimensional *Toeplitz matrix* $A$ is a square matrix of order $n$ that is constant along all diagonals, that is, such that:

$$A_{i,j} = A_{i+1,j+1}, \quad \forall\, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\,.$$

An interesting way to define a Toeplitz matrix is through a function $f_A$ defined on the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of the integers: One just has to define the elements $A_{i,j}$ of a square matrix $A$ as functions of the differences $i - j$, that is, one just has to define:

$$A_{i,j} = f_A(i - j)\,.$$

The index $A$ in the function symbol $f_A$ is just to point out that the function $f_A$ completely defines the Toeplitz matrix $A$. A lower triangular Toeplitz matrix is a Toeplitz matrix with vanishing elements above the main diagonal, that is, such that

$$A_{i,j} = 0, \quad \forall\, i < j\,.$$

A non-singular lower triangular Toeplitz matrix has necessarily a non-zero number in the elements of its main diagonal. If the lower triangular Toeplitz matrix $A$ is defined trough a function $f_A$, then $A$ is non-singular if and only if $f_A(0) \neq 0$.

To simplify the notation, we will put

$$\alpha_k = f_A(k), \qquad \forall\, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{6}$$

We are ready to state our main results.

**Theorem 1** *Let $n > 0$ be any given positive integer and $f_A$ a function defined in the set of non-negative integers such that $f_A(0) \neq 0$. Let $\alpha_k$ be defined by Eq. (6). If a sequence $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$, satisfies the following condition:*

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \alpha_{n-h}\, a_h = 0\,, \tag{7}$$

*which is equivalent to the following recursive definition of the elements $a_n$ of the sequence:*

$$a_n = -\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{n-h}\, a_h\,, \tag{8}$$

*one may calculate the elements $a_n$, $\forall\, n > 0$, from the following explicit formulas involving the partitions of $n$:*

$$a_n = a_0 \sum_{p\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) \tag{9}$$

$$a_n = a_0 \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) \tag{10}$$

**Theorem 2** *Let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be a sequence to which Theorem 1 is applicable, and so Eqs. (9) and (10) are true, then also the following formulas are true:*

$$a_n\,(-1)^n = a_0 \sum_{p\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}\,(-1)^{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) \tag{11}$$

$$a_n\,(-1)^n = a_0 \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}\,(-1)^{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) \tag{12}$$

If $a_0 = 0$, Eqs. (9)–(12) give $a_n = 0$, $\forall\, n > 0$, so, to avoid trivial results, one may suppose $a_0 \neq 0$. Then, by dividing by $a_0$ all Eqs. (7)–(12), one sees that only the fractions $a_n/a_0$ are actually present in Eqs. (7)–(12) and depend on the sequence $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots\}$ (that is on $f_A$). This allows to consider only sequences of numbers $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$ normalized in such a way that $a_0 = 1$. Moreover, the function $f_A$, or, equivalently all the elements of the sequence $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots\}$, can be multiplied by a constant factor without changing anything in Eqs. (7)–(12), so we may suppose in all generality, that $f_A(0) = \alpha_0 = 1$.

Formulas (9) and (10) in Theorem 1 or (11) and (12) in Theorem 2 differ only for the use of partitions in place of compositions. In general, the use of compositions is preferable in calculations because it involves fewer terms. We state all subsequent results in terms of compositions only, but there exist similar formulas concerning partitions, too.

By applying Theorem 1 we obtain the following results:

**Theorem 3** *For any given integer $n > 0$, one has the following explicit formula to express the inverse of the factorial of $n$ in terms of the compositions of $n$:*

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} = \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1}{n_j!}\right). \tag{13}$$

*If $n$ is even, one also has the following explicit formula to express it in terms of the compositions of $n$ of length greater than 1:*

$$\frac{1}{n!} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)\\ l(c)\,>\,1}} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1}{n_j!}\right). \tag{14}$$

*If $n$ is odd, one has the following formula in terms of the compositions of $n$ of length greater than 1:*

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)\\ l(c)\,>\,1}} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1}{n_j!}\right). \tag{15}$$

**Theorem 4** *For any given integer $n > 0$, one has the following explicit formulas to calculate the Bernoulli numbers $B_n$ and $B_{2n}$ in terms of the compositions of $n$:*

$$\frac{B_n}{n!} = \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1}{(n_j+1)!}\right), \tag{16}$$

$$-\frac{(2n-1)\,B_{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{2}{(2n_j+2)!}\right). \tag{17}$$

**Theorem 5** *For any given integer* $n>0$*, one has the following explicit formulas to calculate the Euler numbers* $E_n$ *and* $E_{2n}$ *in terms of the compositions of* $n$*:*

$$\frac{E_n}{(n)!} = \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1+(-1)^{n_j}}{2\,n_j!}\right). \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{E_{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1}{(2n_j)!}\right). \tag{19}$$

Let's show the use of Formulas (13)–(19) with some examples.

**Example 1.** Some formulas using $\mathcal{C}(4)$. One has:

$$\mathcal{C}(4) = \{\{4\},\,\{3,1\},\,\{2,2\},\,\{2,1,1\},\,\{1,1,1,1\}\}\,,$$

so from (16) one finds:

$$B_4 = 4!\,\left[\frac{1!}{1!}\cdot\frac{-1}{5!} + \frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{4!\,2!} + \frac{2!}{2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{3!\,3!} + \frac{3!}{1!\,2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{3!\,2!\,2!} + \frac{4!}{4!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^4}{2!\,2!\,2!\,2!}\right] = -\frac{1}{30}\,,$$

while from (14) one finds:

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\left[\frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{3!\,1!} + \frac{2!}{2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{2!\,2!} + \frac{3!}{1!\,2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{2!\,1!\,1!} + \frac{4!}{4!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^4}{1!\,1!\,1!\,1!}\right] = \frac{1}{24}\,.$$

**Example 2.** Some formulas using $\mathcal{C}(5)$. One has:

$$\mathcal{C}(5) = \{\{5\},\,\{4,1\},\,\{3,2\},\,\{3,1,1\},\,\{2,2,1\},\,\{2,1,1,1\},\,\{1,1,1,1,1\}\}\,,$$

so from (16) one finds:

$$B_5 = 5!\,\left[\frac{1!}{1!}\cdot\frac{-1}{6!} + \frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{5!\,2!} + \frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{4!\,3!} + \frac{3!}{1!\,2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{4!\,2!\,2!} + \frac{3!}{2!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{3!\,3!\,2!} + \frac{4!}{1!\,3!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^4}{3!\,2!\,2!\,2!} + \frac{5!}{5!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^5}{2!\,2!\,2!\,2!\,2!}\right] = 0\,,$$

while from (15) one finds:

$$\frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{4!\,1!}+\frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{3!\,2!}+\frac{3!}{1!\,2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{3!\,1!\,1!}+$$

$$+\frac{3!}{2!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{2!\,2!\,1!}+\frac{4!}{1!\,3!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^4}{2!\,1!\,1!\,1!}+\frac{5!}{5!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^5}{1!\,1!\,1!\,1!\,1!}=0\,,$$

and from (19) one finds:

$$E_{10}=10!\left[\frac{1!}{1!}\cdot\frac{-1}{10!}+\frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{8!\,2!}+\frac{2!}{1!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^2}{6!\,4!}+\frac{3!}{1!\,2!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{6!\,2!\,2!}+\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{3!}{2!\,1!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^3}{4!\,4!\,2!}+\frac{4!}{1!\,3!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^4}{4!\,2!\,2!\,2!}+\frac{5!}{5!}\cdot\frac{(-1)^5}{2!\,2!\,2!\,2!\,2!}\right]=-50521\,.$$

Results similar to those stated by Eq. (16) and Eq. (19) were also found by Vella and by Malenfant. Vella in [2] obtained a formula for $B_n$ (Formula (a) of his Theorem 11) using the formula of Faà di Bruno [4] for the $n$-th derivative of a composite generating function. Malenfant in [3] obtained a formula for $B_n$ (his Formula (36)) and a formula for $E_{2n}$ (his Formula (39)) starting from the coefficients of the Taylor series of a generating function. Our method is in some points close to that one of Malenfant but, contrary to his method, it does not use any tool from calculus. We think that the results expressed by Theorems 1–3 and by Eqs. (17) and (18) are new. In any case, our proofs are simple because they only use basic tools of linear algebra and some combinatorics.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $n$ be any fixed positive integer. Let's first take then out of the sum in Eq. (7) the contribution corresponding to $h=0$, so Eq. (7) may be written in the following way:

$$\sum_{h=1}^{n}\alpha_{n-h}\,a_h=-\alpha_n\,a_0\,, \tag{20}$$

Let's introduce the $n$-dimensional column vectors $v$ and $w$ whose elements are

$$v_k=a_k,\qquad\text{and}\qquad w_k=-\alpha_k\,a_0\,,$$

respectively, where $k$ is the vector index running from 1 to $n$, and consider an order $n$ square matrix $A$ whose elements are defined by

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i-j} & \text{if} \quad i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i < j \end{cases} , \tag{21}$$

so that $A$ is a non-singular $n$-dimensional lower triangular Toeplitz matrix.

Equation (20) becomes now a linear system for the unknowns $v_k$ whose matrix expression is the following:

$$Av = w \,. \tag{22}$$

Being $A$ non-singular, one formally writes the solution to the system (22) in the following way:

$$v = A^{-1} w \,.$$

The element $v_n$ is then easily obtained from the scalar product of the vector at the last row of $A^{-1}$ with the vector $w$:

$$v_n = \sum_{h=1}^{n} (A^{-1})_{n,h} \, w_h \,. \tag{23}$$

The inverse matrix $A^{-1}$ could easily be calculated using any elementary method of linear algebra. It is itself a non-singular lower triangular Toeplitz matrix. There are also plenty of books and papers describing many algebraic and analytical properties of Toeplitz matrices (see for example [5], or [3]). Instead to follow this road, in order to arrive at the result expressed by Theorem 1, we will proceed in a more elementary way, thanks to the particularly simple forms of $A$ and $w$. For convenience let's put $N = A^{-1}$ and write explicitly the matrix $A$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-4} & \dots & \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Equation (23) requires the knowledge of the last row of the matrix $N$. To get that we start from the equation:

$$\delta_{n,k} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} N_{n,h} \, A_{h,k}$$

and use the fact that $A_{h,k} = 0$ if $h < k$. We then find:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{for} \quad k = n & : \; 1 & = \; N_{n,n}\, A_{n,n}\,; \\ \text{for} \quad k = n - h & : \; 0 & = \; \sum_{k=n-h}^{n} N_{n,k}\, A_{k,n-h}, \quad \forall h = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1. \end{array}$$

The equations just written form a triangular linear system of $n$ equations in the $n$ indeterminates $N_{n,h}$, $h = 1, \ldots, n$, that is easily solved by substitution. By taking into account that $A_{k,k} = \alpha_0 \neq 0$, $\forall k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n,n} &= \frac{1}{A_{n,n}}\,; \\ N_{n,n-h} &= -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}} \sum_{k=n-h+1}^{n} N_{n,k}\, A_{k,n-h}, \quad \forall h = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Each element $N_{n,n-h}$ of the last row of the matrix $N$ is then expressed in terms of those with greater column index. Let's explicitly solve the system for the first few values of $h$, substituting also the matrix elements of $A$ with the elements of the sequence $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots\}$. One so finds:

$$\begin{array}{lll} N_{n,n} & = & \frac{1}{A_{n,n}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \\ N_{n,n-1} & = & -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}}\, N_{n,n}\, A_{n,n-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\left(-\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0}\right) \\ N_{n,n-2} & = & -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}}\left(N_{n,n-1}\, A_{n-1,n-2} + N_{n,n}\, A_{n,n-2}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_0^2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0}\right) \\ N_{n,n-3} & = & -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}}\left(N_{n,n-2}\, A_{n-2,n-3} + N_{n,n-1}\, A_{n-1,n-3} + N_{n,n}\, A_{n,n-3}\right) = \\ & = & \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\left(-\frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_0^3} + \frac{\alpha_2\alpha_1}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{\alpha_0^2} - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_0}\right) \\ N_{n,n-4} & = & -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}} \sum_{k=n-3}^{n} N_{n,k}\, A_{k,n-4} = \\ & & \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\left(\frac{\alpha_1^4}{\alpha_0^4} - \frac{\alpha_2\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_0^3} - \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_1}{\alpha_0^3} + \frac{\alpha_3\alpha_1}{\alpha_0^2} - \frac{\alpha_1^2\alpha_2}{\alpha_0^3} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_3}{\alpha_0^2} - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_0}\right) \\ \ldots & & \end{array}$$

At this point one notes that, at least for $h = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$, $N_{n,n-h}$ is obtained as the sum of all products like

$$\frac{\alpha_{n_1}}{\alpha_0}\,\frac{\alpha_{n_2}}{\alpha_0} \cdots \frac{\alpha_{n_k}}{\alpha_0}\,,$$

whose indices satisfy the conditions

$$1 \leq k \leq h, \qquad n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_k = h,$$

and each term is taken with the $+$ sign if $k$ is even, with the $-$ sign if $k$ is odd. The $k$ positive numbers $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ form then a partition of length $k$ of the positive integer $h$. Using the notation presented in the Introduction, we are then led to write the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n,n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\,; \\ N_{n,n-h} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{p\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(h)} \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} \frac{-\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0}, \quad \forall h = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(h)$ is the set of all partitions of the integer $h$ and $l(p)$ is the length of the partition $p$. Let's verify by induction that Formula (25) holds true for all values of $h = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Supposing (25) true up to a given $h$, with $1 \le h \le n-2$, let's prove that it holds true also for $h$ substituted by $h+1$. Starting from (24), and using (21) and (25), one finds:

$$N_{n,n-(h+1)} = -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}} \sum_{k\,=\,n-(h+1)+1}^{n} N_{n,k} A_{k,n-(h+1)} =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}} \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{h} N_{n,n-k} A_{n-k,n-(h+1)} =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{A_{n,n}} N_{n,n} A_{n,n-(h+1)} - \frac{1}{A_{n,n}} \sum_{k\,=\,1}^{h} N_{n,n-k} A_{n-k,n-(h+1)} =$$

$$= -\frac{\alpha_{h+1}}{\alpha_0^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{k\,=\,1}^{h} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{p\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(k)} \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} \frac{-\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) \alpha_{h+1-k}.$$

For any partition $p = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{l(p)}\} \in \mathcal{P}(k)$, consider the set obtained by appending to the $l(p)$ numbers $n_j$ in $p$ the number $n_0 = (h+1) - k$, that appears in the last factor (so that it can be rewritten in the form $\alpha_{n_0}$). The set $p' = \{n_0, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{l(p)}\}$ is now a partition of $(h+1)$ of length $l(p') = l(p) + 1$. Considering then all possible values of $k$ resulting from the summation of the last expression, we obtain in this way all possible partitions of $(h+1)$ of length greater than 1. Considering the term outside the summation symbol as corresponding to the unique partition $\{h+1\}$ of length 1 of $(h+1)$, we can rewrite the last expression in the following form:

$$N_{n,n-(h+1)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{p'\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(h+1)} \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p'} \frac{-\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0}\,.$$

This is Formula (25) written for the integer $(h+1)$ instead of $h$, so our proof by induction is now complete and (25) is true for all values of $h = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

Usually it is more convenient to use the compositions in place of the partitions. Using the compositions, Formula (25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n,n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\,; \\ N_{n,n-h} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(h)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \frac{-\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0}, \quad \forall h = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where the factor $\mu(c)$ is given in Equation (5).

We are now ready to calculate the elements $v_n$ from Equation (23):

$$v_n = \sum_{h=1}^{n} N_{n,h}\, w_h =$$

$$= \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n-h)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \frac{-\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) (-\alpha_{h\,a_0}) + \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\,(-\alpha_n\, a_0)$$

For any fixed $h < n$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(n-h)$, the $l(c)+1$ numbers in $c \cup \{h\}$, obtained by appending the number $h$ to the $l(c)$ numbers $n_j \in c$ and reordering, form a composition $c'$ of the number $n$ of length $l(c') = l(c)+1$. We can consider the last term in the above equation obtained by itself from a partition of the integer $n$ of length 1, that is for the value $h = n$ of the sum index. We can therefore write the previous formula in a more compact way:

$$v_n = \sum_{c'\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c') \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c'} \frac{-\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0}\,,$$

and this, with $c'$ substituted by $c$, is Formula (10) of Theorem 1. Formula (9) could be obtained in a similar way.

# 3 Proof of Theorems 2, 3, 4 and 5

**Proof of Theorem 2**. We only prove Eq. (11) concerning partitions. Eq. (12) has an identical proof. Simplifying the second member of Eq. (11), we obtain:

$$a_0 \sum_{p\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}\,(-1)^{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) = a_0 \sum_{p\,\in\,\mathcal{P}(n)} \left( \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} (-1)^{n_j} \right) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,p} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) =$$

$$= a_0 \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \left( (-1)^{\sum_{n_j \in p} n_j} \right) \prod_{n_j \in p} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) = a_0 \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{n_j \in p} (-1)^n \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) =$$

$$= (-1)^n \, a_0 \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{n_j \in p} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{\alpha_0} \right) = (-1)^n \, a_n \, ,$$

where we have used Eq. (9) that, by hypothesis, is true. The last member is equal to the first member of Eq. (11), so the theorem is proved. ☐

**Proof of Theorem 3**. Let $n$ be any fixed positive integer. Using the formula for the binomial expansion one obtains:

$$0 = (1-1)^n = \sum_{h=0}^{n} \binom{n}{h} 1^{n-h} (-1)^h \, ,$$

that can be written in the following way:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-h)!} \, \frac{(-1)^h}{h!} = 0 \, . \tag{26}$$

Defining

$$a_h = \frac{(-1)^h}{h!}, \qquad \alpha_{n-h} = \frac{1}{(n-h)!} \, ,$$

Eq. (26) become like Eq. (7), with $a_0 = \alpha_0 = 1$. Then Theorem 1 applies and one may write:

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j \in c} \left( -\frac{1}{n_j!} \right)$$

which is Eq. (13). The two other formulas in Theorem 3 follow immediately from Eq. (13) taking out of the sum the contribution of the composition of length 1 of $n$ and simplifying it with the first member of the equation. ☐

**Proof of Theorem 4**. Let $n$ be any fixed positive integer. We first prove Eq. (16). The first expression in Eq. (1) can be written in the following way:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n+1-h)!} \, \frac{B_h}{h!} = 0 \, . \tag{27}$$

Defining

$$a_h = \frac{B_n}{n!}, \qquad \alpha_{n-h} = \frac{1}{(n+1-h)!} \, ,$$

Eq. (27) becomes like Eq. (7), with $a_0 = \alpha_0 = 1$. Then Theorem 1 applies and one may write:

$$\frac{B_n}{n!} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j \in c} \left( -\frac{1}{(n_j+1)!} \right),$$

which is Eq. (16).

It is well-known that $B_{2n+1} = 0$, $\forall n > 0$. A purely algebraic proof of this fact is outlined in [1] p. 238. It is based on a formula published by J. Faulhaber in 1631 and proved by B. Pascal in 1654, as reported in [6], Theorem 3.1 (i). Taking into account that $B_{2n+1} = 0$, $\forall n > 0$, we first prove that the Bernoulli numbers of even index $B_{2n}$ satisfy the following relation:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(2n+2-2h)!} \, \frac{(2h-1)B_{2h}}{(2h)!} = 0\,. \tag{28}$$

By hypothesis, all Bernoulli numbers of odd index greater than 1 vanish, so it is convenient in Eq. (27) to separate the sums of the odd and of the even indices. We can write Eq. (27) for $n = 2m$ and for $n = 2m+1$. When $n = 2m$, Eq. (27) gives:

$$0 = \sum_{h=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{(2m+1-h)!} \, \frac{B_h}{h!} = \sum_{\substack{h\,=\,0 \\ h \text{ even}}}^{2m} \frac{1}{(2m+1-h)!} \, \frac{B_h}{h!} + \frac{B_1}{(2m)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(2m+1-2k)!} \, \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} + \frac{B_1}{(2m)!}\,,$$

that is:

$$-\frac{B_1}{(2m)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{2m+2-2k}{(2m+2-2k)!} \, \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \tag{29}$$

When $n = 2m+1$, Eq. (27) gives:

$$0 = \sum_{h=0}^{2m+1} \frac{1}{(2m+2-h)!} \, \frac{B_h}{h!} = \sum_{\substack{h\,=\,0 \\ h \text{ even}}}^{2m} \frac{1}{(2m+2-h)!} \, \frac{B_h}{h!} + \frac{B_1}{(2m+1)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(2m+2-2k)!} \, \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} + \frac{B_1}{(2m+1)!}\,,$$

that is:

$$-\frac{B_1}{(2m)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{2m+1}{(2m+2-2k)!} \, \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \tag{30}$$

The difference between Eqs. (30) and (29) gives:

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{2m+1}{(2m+2-2k)!} - \frac{2m+2-2k}{(2m+2-2k)!} \right) \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{2k-1}{(2m+2-2k)!} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

Changing $k$ with $h$, this equation is identical to Eq. (28).
To prove Eq. (17), one may define

$$a_n = -\frac{(2n-1)B_{2n}}{(2n)!}, \qquad \alpha_{n-h} = \frac{2}{(2n+2-2h)!}\,,$$

where the factors $-1$ in $a_n$ and 2 in $\alpha_{n-h}$ have been introduced to have $a_0 = \alpha_0 = 1$. Then Eq. (28) becomes like Eq. (7), with $a_0 = \alpha_0 = 1$, and Theorem 1 applies. By substituting $a_n$ and $\alpha_{n-h}$ just defined in Eq. (10), one finds Eq. (17) and the proof is complete. ☐

The proof of Eq. (17) in Theorem 4 is based on Eq. (28). This is an interesting result by itself, so we state the following Corollary, proved above.

**Corollary 1** *The Bernoulli numbers of even index $B_{2n}$ satisfy the following relation:*

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(2n+2-2h)!} \frac{(2h-1)B_{2h}}{(2h)!} = 0\,.$$

**Proof of Theorem 5**. Let $n$ be any fixed positive integer. We first prove Eq. (18). The first expression in Eq. (2) can be written in the following way:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \frac{1+(-1)^{n-h}}{(n-h)!} \frac{E_h}{h!} = 0\,. \tag{31}$$

Defining

$$a_h = \frac{E_n}{n!}, \qquad \alpha_{n-h} = \frac{1+(-1)^{n-h}}{2\,(n-h)!}\,,$$

Eq. (31) becomes like Eq. (7), with $a_0 = \alpha_0 = 1$. Then Theorem 1 applies and one may write:

$$\frac{E_n}{n!} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j \in c} \left( -\frac{1+(-1)^{n_j}}{2\,n_j!} \right)$$

which is Eq. (18).

We next prove Eq. (19). It is well-known that the Euler numbers of odd index vanish. This can be seen also from Eq. (4), in fact, in the sum, the factor $\left[1+(-1)^{n-h}\right]$ vanishes when $n$ and $h$ have different parity, and, starting from $E_1 = 0$, obtained from (4), it is easy to realize that $E_{2n+1} = 0$, $\forall n > 0$. Eq. (2) can then be written in the following way:

$$E_0 = 1, \qquad E_{2n+1} = 0, \qquad \sum_{h=0}^{n} \binom{2n}{2h} E_{2h} = 0\,. \tag{32}$$

The third expression in Eq. (32) is also equal to:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(2n-2h)!}\,\frac{E_{2h}}{(2h)!} = 0\,. \tag{33}$$

Defining

$$a_n = \frac{E_{2n}}{(2n)!}, \qquad \alpha_{n-h} = \frac{1}{(2n-2h)!}\,,$$

Eq. (33) becomes like Eq. (7), with $a_0 = \alpha_0 = 1$. Then Theorem 1 applies and one may write:

$$\frac{E_{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{c\,\in\,\mathcal{C}(n)} \mu(c) \prod_{n_j\,\in\,c} \left(-\frac{1}{(2n_j)!}\right),$$

which is Eq. (19). □

# 4 Conclusions

Using only elementary results of linear algebra and some combinatorics we found the results expressed by Theorems 1–2. They allow to obtain explicit formulas for a wide class of sequences of numbers defined by recursion in terms of partitions of integers. We applied Theorem 1 to find formulas for the inverse of the factorials, the Bernoulli numbers and the Euler numbers. We think that the results expressed by Theorems 1–3 and by Eqs. (17) and (18) are new. Eqs. (16) and (19) have been also found by Vella [2] and Malenfant [3], but using tools from calculus. On the contrary our proofs are based only on some basic linear algebra and some combinatorics.

# References

[1] Édouard Lucas, Théorie des nombres, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1891.

[2] David C. Vella, Explict formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers, Integers 8 (2008) 1–7.

[3] Jerome Malenfant, Finite, closed-form expressions for the partition function and for the Euler, Bernoulli, and Stirling numbers, arXiv:1103.1585v6 [math.NT] (2011).

[4] Warren P. Johnson, The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula, Amer. Math. Monthly 109 (2002) 217-234.

[5] Robert M. Gray, Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A Review, Now, 2006 (free availablle from the web).

[6] Alan F. Beardon, Sums of Powers of Integers, Amer. Math. Monthly, 103 (1996) 201–213.